	Case 2:22-cv-01225-DAD-EFB Docume	ent 42 Filed 03/15/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT L. SANFORD,	No. 2:22-cv-01225-DAD-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING IN FULL FINDINGS
13	v.	AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS
14	KATHLEEN ALLISON, et al.,	BROUGHT AGAINST CERTAIN <u>DEFENDANTS</u>
15	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 33)
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff Robert Sanford is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
19	brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
20	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On December 19, 2023, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and	
22	recommendations recommending that plaintiff's claims for retaliation brought against defendants	
23	Martinez, Esporza, Oviedo, Narranjo, and Tyson (collectively, "the retaliation defendants") be	
24	dismissed without leave to amend, and that plaintiff's claims brought against defendant Stephanie	
25	Welch be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). (Doc.	
26	No. 33 at 7.) Specifically, the magistrate judge concluded that plaintiff had failed to sufficiently	
27	allege that any adverse action had been taken by the retaliation defendants. (Id. at 3.) The	
28	magistrate judge also concluded that because plaintiff had failed to serve defendant Stephanie	
	1	

1 Welch, and because plaintiff had failed to show good cause for that failure, that defendant Welch 2 must be dismissed from this action without prejudice. (*Id.* at 4–5); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). 3 The pending findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained 4 notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Doc. 5 No. 33 at 8.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the 6 time in which to do so has now passed. 7 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 8 de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the 9 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. 10 Accordingly: 1. The findings and recommendations issued on December 19, 2023 (Doc. No. 33) 11 12 are adopted in full; 13 2. Plaintiff's claims for retaliation brought against defendants Martinez, Esporza, 14 Oviedo, Narranjo, and Tyson are dismissed without leave to amend; 3. 15 Plaintiff's claims brought against defendant Stephanie Welch are dismissed 16 without prejudice; 17 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to update the docket to reflect that defendant 18 Stephanie Welch has been terminated from this action; and 19 5. This matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings 20 consistent with this order. 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 Dated: **March 14, 2024** 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 26

Case 2:22-cv-01225-DAD-EFB Document 42 Filed 03/15/24 Page 2 of 2

27

28